



College Statement

Title	Rotational forceps
Statement No.	C-Obs 13
Date of this document	November 2006
First endorsed by Council	February 2002
Next review date	November 2009

Statement

Introductory Comments

Reviews of Kielland's forceps have consistently found a place for their use. In comparison to Caesarean section, there is an increased, but small risk of traumatic intracranial haemorrhage and cervical spine injury. It is hard to accurately quantify the true risk but it is likely to compare favourably with the added maternal risks of emergency Caesarean section in the index and subsequent pregnancies. Whilst ventouse delivery has been popularised over the last decade in many hospitals, it is associated with higher failure rates and an incidence of intracranial and subaponeurotic haemorrhage.

Suggested Guidelines

1. Adequate Station

The head should be engaged as determined clinically by BOTH abdominal and vaginal examination under adequate analgesia. Allowance should be made for extensive caput and/or moulding of the fetal head.

2. Adequate analgesia

In most circumstances, rotational forceps delivery will be performed under regional anaesthesia.

3. Adequate experience or supervision

Clinicians must receive appropriate training if they are to perform rotational forceps deliveries. Until such training has taken place, rotational forceps should only be performed under the supervision of a trained and experienced obstetrician.

4. Rotation must only be attempted with the uterus relaxed

Rotation of the fetal head should only be attempted between contractions. Consideration may be given to using a short acting tocolytic, such as glyceryl trinitrate, to ensure adequate uterine relaxation.

5. Low threshold for abandoning the procedure and resorting to Caesarean section

The procedure should be abandoned if the forceps cannot be applied easily, the handles do not easily approximate, or rotation is not easily effected with gentle pressure. Under conditions where difficulty is more likely to be encountered (e.g. moulding of the fetal head or the presenting part is only just engaged), the trial of forceps should be performed in an operating theatre equipped for Caesarean section. Rotational forceps deliveries should not be attempted in hospitals not equipped to perform an immediate Caesarean section unless exceptional circumstances exist.

References

1. Bashore RA Phillips WH Brinkman CR A comparison of the morbidity of midforceps and Caesarean delivery *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 162: 1428-35
2. Traub AI Morrow RJ Ritchie JWK Dornan KJ A continuing use for Kielland's forceps? *Br J Obstet Gynecol* 1984; 91: 894-8
3. Healey DL, Quinn MA Pepperell RJ Rotational delivery of the fetus: Kielland's forceps and two other methods compared *Br J Obstet Gynecol* 1982;89:501-6
4. Rodrigues C Singh PM Gupta AN Kielland's forceps for deep transverse arrest *Asia-Oceania J Obstet Gynecol* 1983; 9: 160-1
5. Svigos JM Cave DG Vigneswaran R Resch A Christiansen J Silastic cup vacuum extractor or forceps: a comparative study *Asia-Oceania J Obstet Gynecol* 1990; 16: 323-7
6. Herabutya Y O-Praasertsawat P Boonrangsimit P Kielland's forceps or ventouse-a comparison *Br J Obstet Gynecol* 1988; 95: 483-7
7. Cardoza LD Gibb DM Studd JW Cooper DJ Should we abandon Kielland's forceps? *Br Med J* 1983; 287: 315-7
8. Krivak TC Drewes P Horowitz GM Kielland vs. Nonrotational Forceps for the Second Stage of Labour *J Reprod Med* 1999; 44: 511-7
9. Need J Is "the trial of forceps" over? *Med J Aust* 1987;146:613
10. Chow SLS, Johnson CM, Anderson TD, Hughes JH Rotational delivery with Kielland's forceps *Med J Aust* 1987;146:616-9
11. Drife JO Kielland or Caesar? *BMJ* 1983;287:309-10
12. Menticoglou SM, Perlman M, Manning FA High cervical spinal cord injury in neonates delivered with forceps: report of 15 cases *Obstet Gynecol* 1995;86:589-93
13. Liliford RJ Van Coeverden de Groot HA, Moore PJ, Bingham P The relative risks of caesarean section (intrapartum and elective) and vaginal delivery: a detailed analysis to exclude the effects of medical disorders and other acute pre-existing physiological disturbances *Br J Obstet Gynecol* 1990;97:883-92
14. Nielsen TF, Hokegard KH Cesarean section and intraoperative surgical complications *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1984;63:103-8
15. Vacca A Birth by vacuum extraction: Neonatal outcome *J Paediatr Child Health* 1996;32:204-206

Disclaimer

This College Statement is intended to provide general advice to Practitioners. The statement should never be relied on as a substitute for proper assessment with respect to the particular circumstances of each case and the needs of each patient.

The statement has been prepared having regard to general circumstances. It is the responsibility of each Practitioner to have regard to the particular circumstances of each case, and the application of this statement in each case. In particular, clinical management must always be responsive to the needs of the individual patient and the particular circumstances of each case.

This College statement has been prepared having regard to the information available at the time of its preparation, and each Practitioner must have regard to relevant information, research or material which may have been published or become available subsequently.

Whilst the College endeavours to ensure that College statements are accurate and current at the time of their preparation, it takes no responsibility for matters arising from changed circumstances or information or material that may have become available after the date of the statements.